TO: THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES APPEARING OF RECORD:

COME NOW Defendants Neil Sankey, Todd Sankey, The Sankey Firm, Inc., and Sankey Investigations, Inc. [hereinafter collectively referred to as "SANKEY"] and answer the First Amended Complaint by Liberi, et al, as follows:

I. NATURE OF ACTION

1. In answer to paragraph 1 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY admits Plaintiffs seek damages but SANKEY denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any such damages.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Paragraph 2 of the First Amended Complaint contains jurisdictional allegations which require a legal conclusion and to which no response is required. Nonetheless, SANKEY stipulates to the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 3. Paragraph 3 of the First Amended Complaint contains venue allegations which require a legal conclusion and to which no response is required. Nonetheless, SANKEY stipulates that this Court is the appropriate venue.

III. PARTIES

4. In answer to paragraph 4 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

- 5. In answer to paragraph 5 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 6. In answer to paragraph 6 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 7. In answer to paragraph 7 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 8. In answer to paragraph 8 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 9. In answer to paragraph 9 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 10. In answer to paragraph 10 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 11. In answer to paragraph 11 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies

said allegations.

- 12. In answer to paragraph 12 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 13. In answer to paragraph 13 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies that Todd Sankey is the owner of The Sankey Firm, Inc.
- 14. In answer to paragraph 13 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies that Todd Sankey is the owner of The Sankey Firm, Inc.
 - 15. Admit.
 - 16. Admit.
- 17. In answer to paragraph 17 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 18. In answer to paragraph 18 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 19. In answer to paragraph 19 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 20. In answer to paragraph 20 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
 - 21. In answer to paragraph 21 of the First Amended Complaint,

3

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

- 22. In answer to paragraph 22 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 23. In answer to paragraph 23 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 24. In answer to paragraph 24 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 25. In answer to paragraph 25 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 26. In answer to paragraph 26 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 27. In answer to paragraph 27 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
 - 28. In answer to paragraph 28 of the First Amended Complaint,

SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

IV. "DOE" DEFENDANTS

29. In answer to paragraph 29 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

V. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 30. In answer to paragraph 30 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 31. In answer to paragraph 31 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY contained therein except as to the beliefs of Plaintiffs, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 32. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 33. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

> 8 9

7

10

11

12

13

14 15

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

- 34. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 35. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 36. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 37. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 38. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 39. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 40. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 41. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 42. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
 - 43. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit

3

9

10

8

11

13 14

12

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28 or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

- 44. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 45. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 46. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 47. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 48. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 49. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 50. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 51. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 52. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 53. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 54. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 55. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 56. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 57. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 58. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 59. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 60. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 61. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

7

8

6

9

11

10

13

12

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

contained therein.

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

66. In answer to paragraph 66 of the First Amended Complaint,

- 62. SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 63. In answer to paragraph 63 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY contained therein.
- 64. In answer to paragraph 64 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 65. In answer to paragraph 65 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY contained therein. 67. In answer to paragraph 67 of the First Amended Complaint,

SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY

- 68. In answer to paragraph 68 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 69. In answer to paragraph 69 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY contained therein.
 - 70. In answer to paragraph 70 of the First Amended Complaint,

- 71. In answer to paragraph 71 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the marginally intelligible, completely unintelligible or other llegations contained in said paragraph on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 72. In answer to paragraph 72 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the marginally intelligible, completely unintelligible or other llegations contained in said paragraph on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 73. In answer to paragraph 73 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the marginally intelligible, completely unintelligible or other llegations contained in said paragraph on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 74. In answer to paragraph 74 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the marginally intelligible, completely unintelligible or other llegations contained in said paragraph on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 75. In answer to paragraph 75 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the marginally intelligible, completely unintelligible or other allegations contained in said paragraph on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 76. In answer to paragraph 76 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the marginally intelligible, completely unintelligible or other llegations contained in said paragraph on that basis, denies said allegations.
 - 77. In answer to paragraph 77 of the First Amended Complaint,

- 78. In answer to paragraph 78 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the marginally intelligible, completely unintelligible or other llegations contained in said paragraph on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 79. In answer to paragraph 79 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the marginally intelligible, completely unintelligible or other llegations contained in said paragraph on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 80. In answer to paragraph 80 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY states that the phrase "(the emails which Taitz received from Sankey and the Sankey Firm)" is unintelligible in its context and SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the marginally intelligible, completely unintelligible or other allegations contained in said paragraph on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 81. In answer to paragraph 81 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 82. In answer to paragraph 82 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 83. In answer to paragraph 83 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are

- 84. In answer to paragraph 84 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 85. In answer to paragraph 85 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 86. In answer to paragraph 86 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 87. In answer to paragraph 87 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 88. In answer to paragraph 88 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 89. In answer to paragraph 89 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 90. In answer to paragraph 90 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are

- 91. In answer to paragraph 91 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 92. In answer to paragraph 92 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 93. In answer to paragraph 93 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 94. In answer to paragraph 94 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 95. In answer to paragraph 95 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 96. In answer to paragraph 96 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 97. In answer to paragraph 97 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are

- 98. In answer to paragraph 98 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 99. In answer to paragraph 99 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY admits the Neil Sankey went onto Plains Radio Network by SANKEY but denies each and every other material allegation as to SANKEY contained therein.

100. In answer to paragraph 100 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

- 100. In answer to paragraph 100 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 101. In answer to paragraph 101 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 102. In answer to paragraph 102 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 103. In answer to paragraph 103 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are

104. In answer to paragraph 104 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

105. In answer to paragraph 105 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

106. In answer to paragraph 106 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

107. In answer to paragraph 107 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

108. In answer to paragraph 108 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

109. In answer to paragraph 109 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

110. In answer to paragraph 110 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are

- 111. In answer to paragraph 111 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY admits that Orley Taitz and Neil Sankey spoke with John Allen, obtained a photograph from him. He did not provide Allen with information concerning the location of Liberi. Further, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 112. In answer to paragraph 112 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 113. In answer to paragraph 113 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 114. In answer to paragraph 114 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 115. In answer to paragraph 115 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies that Neil Sankey made any false statements. As to the rest of said paragraph, SANKEY further responds that SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny any other of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 116. In answer to paragraph 116 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are

- 117. In answer to paragraph 117 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 118. In answer to paragraph 118 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 119. In answer to paragraph 119 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 120. In answer to paragraph 120 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 121. In answer to paragraph 121 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 122. In answer to paragraph 122 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 123. In answer to paragraph 123 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are

- 124. In answer to paragraph 124 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 125. In answer to paragraph 125 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 126. In answer to paragraph 126 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY admits that Neil Sankey attended such a hearing.
- 127. In answer to paragraph 127 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 128. In answer to paragraph 128 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 129. In answer to paragraph 129 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 130. In answer to paragraph 130 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
 - 131. In answer to paragraph 131 of the First Amended Complaint,

SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY

contained therein.

- 139. In answer to paragraph 139 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY contained therein.
- 140. In answer to paragraph 140 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 141. In answer to paragraph 141 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 142. In answer to paragraph 142 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 143. In answer to paragraph 143 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

VI. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 144. In answer to paragraph 144 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY incorporates by this reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 143 of the First Amended Complaint.
- 145. In answer to paragraph 145 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny

- 146. In answer to paragraph 146 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 147. In answer to paragraph 147 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 148. In answer to paragraph 148 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 149. In answer to paragraph 149 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 150. In answer to paragraph 150 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 151. In answer to paragraph 151 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 152. In answer to paragraph 152 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny

- 153. In answer to paragraph 153 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 154. In answer to paragraph 154 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 155. In answer to paragraph 155 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 156. In answer to paragraph 156 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 157. In answer to paragraph 157 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 158. In answer to paragraph 158 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 159. In answer to paragraph 159 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny

- 160. In answer to paragraph 160 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 161. In answer to paragraph 161 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 162. In answer to paragraph 162 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 163. In answer to paragraph 163 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 164. In answer to paragraph 164 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 165. In answer to paragraph 165 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 166. In answer to paragraph 166 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny

9 10

11

12 13

14

16

15

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

- 167. In answer to paragraph 167 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 168. In answer to paragraph 168 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 169. In answer to paragraph 169 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 170. In answer to paragraph 170 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 171. In answer to paragraph 171 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 172. In answer to paragraph 172 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 173. In answer to paragraph 173 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny

174. In answer to paragraph 174 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

VI. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS [Repeated Section Number]

175. In answer to paragraph 175 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY incorporates by this reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 174 of the First Amended Complaint.

 176. In answer to paragraph 176 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

177. In answer to paragraph 177 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

178. In answer to paragraph 178 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

179. In answer to paragraph 179 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are

- 180. In answer to paragraph 180 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 181. In answer to paragraph 181 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 182. In answer to paragraph 182 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 183. In answer to paragraph 183 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 184. In answer to paragraph 184 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 185. In answer to paragraph 185 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are intelligible and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 186. In answer to paragraph 186 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph, to the extent that they are

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Willful Intrusion

187. In answer to paragraph 187 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY incorporates by this reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 188 of the First Amended Complaint.

- 188. In answer to paragraph 188 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY contained therein.
- 189. In answer to paragraph 189 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 190. In answer to paragraph 190 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY contained therein.
- 191. In answer to paragraph 191 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 192. In answer to paragraph 192 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 193. In answer to paragraph 193 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny

SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY

27

28

contained therein.

- 209. In answer to paragraph 209 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY contained therein.
- 210. In answer to paragraph 210 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY admits Plaintiffs seek damages but SANKEY denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any such damages and denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY contained therein.
- 211. In answer to paragraph 211 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 212. Paragraph 212 requires a legal conclusion and to which no response is required.
- 213. In answer to paragraph 213 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY admits Plaintiffs seek damages but SANKEY denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any such damages and denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY contained therein.
- 214. In answer to paragraph 214 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION False Light

215. In answer to paragraph 215 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY incorporates by this reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 204 of the First Amended Complaint.

SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY contained therein.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION Appropriation of Name

- 226. In answer to paragraph 226 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY incorporates by this reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 225 of the First Amended Complaint.
- 227. In answer to paragraph 227 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 228. In answer to paragraph 228 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 229. In answer to paragraph 229 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 230. In answer to paragraph 230 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 231. In answer to paragraph 231 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies

said allegations.

- 228. In answer to paragraph 228 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 232. In answer to paragraph 232 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 233. In answer to paragraph 233 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 234.In answer to Paragraph 234 of the First Amended Complaint contains statement of laws to which no response is required.
- 235. In answer to paragraph 235 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 236. In answer to paragraph 236 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
- 237. In answer to paragraph 237 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.
 - 238. In answer to paragraph 238 of the First Amended Complaint,

SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Cal. IP

239. In answer to paragraph 239 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY incorporates by this reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 238 of the First Amended Complaint.

- 240. In answer to paragraph 240 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY admits Plaintiffs seek damages but SANKEY denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any such damages.
- 241. In answer to paragraph 241 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies said allegations; said requires a legal conclusion to which a response is not required.
- 242. In answer to paragraph 242 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies that SANKEY illegally obtained, disclosed and distributed information.
- 243. In answer to paragraph 243 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY contained therein.
- 244. Paragraph 244 of the First Amended Complaint contains a statement of law to which no response is required.
- 245. In answer to paragraph 245 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY admits Plaintiffs seek damages but SANKEY denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any such damages.

SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny

the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies

27

said allegations. 1 254. In answer to paragraph 254 of the First Amended Complaint, 2 SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny 3 the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies 4 said allegations. 5 255. In answer to paragraph 255 of the First Amended Complaint, 6 SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY 7 contained therein. 8 256. In answer to paragraph 256 of the First Amended Complaint, 9 SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY 10 contained therein. 11 257. In answer to paragraph 257 of the First Amended Complaint, 12 SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY 13 contained therein. 14 258. In answer to paragraph 258 of the First Amended Complaint, 15 SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY 16 contained therein. 17 259. In answer to paragraph 259 of the First Amended Complaint, 18 SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY 19 contained therein. 20 260. In answer to paragraph 260 of the First Amended Complaint, 21 SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY 22 contained therein. 23 261. In answer to paragraph 261 of the First Amended Complaint, 24 SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY 25 contained therein. 26 262. In answer to paragraph 262 of the First Amended Complaint, 27 SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY 28

contained therein. 1 263. In answer to paragraph 263 of the First Amended Complaint, 2 SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY 3 contained therein. 4 264. In answer to paragraph 325 of the First Amended Complaint, 5 SANKEY admits Plaintiffs seek damages but SANKEY denies that 6 Plaintiffs are entitled to any such damages. 7 8 SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION 9 Cyber-Stalking, etc. 10 11 This Cause of Action and Paragraphs 265 through 280 does not 12 pertain to Sankey. 13 14 EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION 15 Defamation, etc. 16 17 281. In answer to paragraph 281 of the First Amended Complaint, 18 SANKEY incorporates by this reference its responses to paragraphs 1 19 through 280 of the First Amended Complaint. 20 282. Paragraph 282 of the First Amended Complaint contains a 21 statement of law to which no response is required. 22 283. Paragraph 283 of the First Amended Complaint contains a 23 statement of law to which no response is required. 24 284. In answer to paragraph 284 of the First Amended Complaint, 25 SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny 26 the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies 27 said allegations. 28

1	SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny
2	the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies
3	said allegations.
4	294. In answer to paragraph 294 of the First Amended Complaint,
5	SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny
6	the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies
7	said allegations.
8	295. In answer to paragraph 295 of the First Amended Complaint,
9	SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY
10	contained therein.
11	296. In answer to paragraph 296 of the First Amended Complaint,
12	SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY
13	contained therein.
14	297. In answer to paragraph 297 of the First Amended Complaint,
15	SANKEY admits Plaintiffs seek damages but SANKEY denies that
16	Plaintiffs are entitled to any such damages.
17	298. In answer to paragraph 298 of the First Amended Complaint,
18	SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY
19	contained therein.
20	299. In answer to paragraph 299 of the First Amended Complaint,
21	SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY
22	contained therein.
23	300. In answer to paragraph 300 of the First Amended Complaint,
24	SANKEY admits Plaintiffs seek damages but SANKEY denies that
25	Plaintiffs are entitled to any such damages.
26	
27	NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION
28	Emotional Distress, etc.

SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY 1 contained therein. 2 317. In answer to paragraph 317 of the First Amended Complaint, 3 SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY 4 contained therein. 5 318. In answer to paragraph 318 of the First Amended Complaint, 6 SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny 7 the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies 8 said allegations. 9 319. In answer to paragraph 319 of the First Amended Complaint, 10 SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny 11 the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies 12 said allegations. 13 320. In answer to paragraph 320 of the First Amended Complaint, 14 SANKEY denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny 15 the allegations contained in said paragraph and, on that basis, denies 16 said allegations. 17 321. In answer to paragraph 321 of the First Amended Complaint, 18 SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY 19 contained therein. 20 322. In answer to paragraph 322 of the First Amended Complaint, 21 SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY 22 contained therein. 23 323. Paragraph 323 of the First Amended Complaint contains a 24 statement of law to which no response is required. 25 324. In answer to paragraph 324 of the First Amended Complaint, 26 SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY 27 contained therein. 28

325. In answer to paragraph 325 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY admits Plaintiffs seek damages but SANKEY denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any such damages.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION Abuse of Process

326. In answer to paragraph 326 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY incorporates by this reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 325 of the First Amended Complaint.

327. In answer to paragraph 327 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY contained therein.

328. In answer to paragraph 328 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY contained therein.

329. In answer to paragraph 329 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY contained therein.

330. In answer to paragraph 330 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY contained therein.

331. In answer to paragraph 331 of the First Amended Complaint, SANKEY admits Plaintiffs seek damages but SANKEY denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any such damages.

332. Paragraph 332 of the First Amended Complaint contains a statement of law to which no response is required.

333. In answer to paragraph 333 of the First Amended Complaint,

SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY 1 contained therein. 2 334. In answer to paragraph 334 of the First Amended Complaint, 3 SANKEY denies each and every material allegation as to SANKEY 4 contained therein. 5 6 TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION 7 8 FRCA, etc. 9 This Cause of Action and Paragraphs 335 through 346 does not 10 pertain to SANKEY. 11 12 THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION 13 FRCA, etc. 14 15 This Cause of Action and what is incorrectly identified to be Paragraphs 16 341 through 352 do not pertain to SANKEY. 17 18 FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION 19 FRCA, etc. 20 21 This Cause of Action and Paragraphs 353 through 364 does not 22 pertain to Sankey. 23 24 25 FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION CCRAA, etc. 26 27 28

This Cause of Action and Paragraphs 365 through 373 do not 1 pertain to SANKEY. 2 3 SIXTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION 4 ICRAA, etc. 5 6 This Cause of Action and Paragraphs 374 through 380 do not 7 pertain to SANKEY. 8 9 SEVENTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION 10 IPA, etc. 11 12 This Cause of Action and Paragraphs 381 through 389 do not 13 pertain to SANKEY. 14 15 16 EIGHTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION 17 18 17200, etc. 19 This Cause of Action and Paragraphs 390 through 397 do not 20 pertain to SANKEY. 21 22 NINETEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION 23 **Emotional Distress, etc.** 24 25 This Cause of Action and Paragraphs 398 through 416 do not 26 pertain to SANKEY. 27 28

TWENTIETH CAUSE OF ACTION Negligence, etc.

This Cause of Action and Paragraphs 417 through 416 do not pertain to SANKEY.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Defendants Neil Sankey, Todd Sankey, The Sankey Firm, Inc., and Sankey Investigations, Inc. pray for judgment as follows:

- 1. That all relief requested in the First Amended Complaint be denied;
 - 2. That Plaintiffs take nothing by virtue of this action;
 - 3. For costs of suit and attorneys' fees incurred herein; and
 - 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

Respectfully submitted on this 25th day of July 2011 by,

Marc Steven Colen Law Offices of Marc Steven Colen Attorney for Defendants Neil Sankey, Todd Sankey, The Sankey Firm, Inc., and Sankey Investigations, Inc.

cont/

WITHOUT WAIVING ANY OF THE FOREGOING, SANKEY, FOR ITS AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO THE FIRST COMPLAINT ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Failure to State a Claim for Relief)

The First Amended Complaint, and each and every purported claim for relief therein, fail to state facts sufficient to constitute a claim for relief against SANKEY.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (SLAPP)

The instant Complaint is no more than a Strategic lawsuit against public participation.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Waiver, Estoppel, Excuse and Mistake)

SANKEY is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that some or all of Plaintiffs' claims are barred under the principles of waiver, estoppel, excuse and/or mistake.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Laches and Unreasonable Delay)

The First Amended Complaint, and each and every purported claim for relief therein, is barred under the principles of laches and unreasonable delay.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
(Unclean Hands)

The Complaint, and each and every purported claim for relief therein, is barred as a result of Plaintiffs' unclean hands.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Failure to Mitigate)

Plaintiffs, though under a duty to do so, have failed and neglected to mitigate his alleged damages, and therefore, cannot recover against SANKEY, whether as alleged or otherwise.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Statutes of Limitations)

The First Amended Complaints, and each and every purported claim for relief therein, is barred by the applicable statutes of limitations set forth in the California Code of Civil Procedure and, alternatively, and without concession, such other Codes and Code Sections as may deemed applicable in this matter.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Privilege)

Liberi v. Taitz Case No.: 8:11-cv-00485 AG SANKEY's Answer to First Amended Complaint pg. 49

Some or all of the first claims for damages in the First Amended Complaint are barred in that all decisions and actions of SANKEY with respect to the subject matter of this lawsuit, were undertaken in good faith, in the absence of malicious intent, and constituted a lawful, proper, and justified means to further its legitimate economic interests.

NINTH

(Constitutional)

Some or all of Plaintiffs' claims are barred because SANKEY's actions are protected free speech under the First Amendment of the United States Constitution. they are predicated on unconstitutionally vague and/or overly broad interpretations of regulations or laws, alternatively, the applicable regulations or laws themselves are unconstitutionally vague and/or overbroad.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Not Proximate Cause)

Although SANKEY denies that Plaintiffs have been damaged in any way, if it should be determined that Plaintiffs have been damaged, then SANKEY alleges, based on information and belief, that the proximate cause of such damage was the conduct of Plaintiffs or others for which SANKEY was not and is not responsible.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Privilege)

Plaintiffs recovery in this action is barred as to Sankey because SANKEY's conduct was, at all times mentioned in the First Amended Complaint, justified and privileged.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Criminal Records)

The criminal records of some of the Plaintiffs are public records and dissemination of information so publically available is protected free speech.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Justification)

Some or all of SANKEY's actions in this matter were taken in reliance upon the standards, guidelines, law and regulations of the State of California were reasonably prudent under the first circumstances.

FOURTEENTH EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Lack of Proximate Cause)

No act or omission of SANKEY was the proximate cause of Plaintiffs' damages, if any. In the alternative, Plaintiffs' alleged damages, if any, were proximately caused by the first conduct of Plaintiffs and/or persons or entities other than SANKEY. Plaintiffs' damages, if any, must be reduced in proportion to the amount attributable to the first conduct of Plaintiffs and/or persons or entities other than SANKEY up to and

including 100%. SANKEY further alleges that if Plaintiffs recovers, then pursuant to <u>Civil Code sections 1431.2</u>, *et seq.*, it is not liable for any non-economic damages that are not directly proportional to SANKEY's percentage of fault.

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Not Entitled to Attorneys' Fees or Punitive Damages)

The Complaint, and each cause of action contained therein, fails to allege facts sufficient to entitle Plaintiffs to recover attorneys' fees and/or punitive damages against SANKEY.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Limitation on Damages)

To the extent, if any, Plaintiffs are entitled to any damages, the damages may not exceed the statutory limitations and caps contained therein.

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Consent)

Any recovery on Plaintiffs' Complaint, or any purported cause of action contained therein, is barred because Plaintiffs consented to the alleged conduct.

EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Absence of Control)

SANKEY is an improper party in this matter in that it does not have sufficient control concerning the actions of other parties in this matter.

NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Compliance With Applicable Laws)

Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part by reason of SANKEY's compliance with all applicable laws, statutes, and regulations.

TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Unconstitutional Imposition of Restitution)

Plaintiffs' demands for restitution, or other monetary relief constitute or are the equivalent of a form of criminal or quasi-criminal sanction, and thus violate SANKEY's rights under the United States Constitution, including without limitation, the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments, and the California Constitution, absent the safeguards guaranteed by those provisions.

TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (No Knowledge of Alleged Violation)

Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part to the extent that his claims are based on an alleged act or omission by SANKEY that was made in good faith without knowledge of any violation of law.

TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Liberi v. Taitz Case No.: 8:11-cv-00485 AG SANKEY's Answer to First Amended Complaint pg. 53

(Under Direction of Attorney)

To the extent, if any, Plaintiffs are entitled to any damages, Plaintiffs' claims against SANKEY are barred in whole or in part on the basis that the actions taken SANKEY were for and under the direction of an attorney, in good faith and without knowledge of any violation of law.

OTHER AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

SANKEY currently has insufficient information upon which to form a belief as to whether it may have additional, as yet unstated, affirmative defenses available. SANKEY reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses in the event discovery indicates they would be appropriate.

Dated 25 July 2011

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Marc Steven Colen

Marc Steven Colen Law Offices of Marc Steven Colen Attorney for Defendants Neil Sankey, Todd Sankey, The Sankey Firm, Inc., Sankey Investigations, Inc.